

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/932,910	08/20/2001	Gregory T. Whiteker	1998U020AD1.US	9327
25959	7590 04/23/2004		EXAMINER	
UNIVATION TECHNOLOGIES LLC 5555 SAN FELIPE, SUITE 1950			PASTERCZYK, JAMES W	
HOUSTON, TX 77056			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Q
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>X</u> .
Advisory Action	09/932,910	WHITEKER ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	J. Pasterczyk	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 08 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required t final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of thi r: (1) a timely filed amendmo ppeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply ent which places the applica-	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or t	p)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of export of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS are date on which the petition under 37 extension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply original	ng date of the final rejection. SOF THE FINAL REJECTION. See CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extended the first control of the fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action: or (2)	MPEP xtension fee sion fee under
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 c	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disr	in the period set forth in nissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require fu	orther consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims	i.
NOTE:			
$3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s): new matter reject	ions.	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	uld be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has bee the claims as now presented h	en considered but does NOT nave numerous obviousness ref	place the ferences*.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) will not be entere	ed or b)⊠ will be entered an ed below or appended.	d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-13 and 15-36</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	approved or b) disapprov	red by the Examiner.	
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 10. Other: *i.e. Bell, Katayama, Coleman, and Basset as well as Sjardijn, USP 4,729,976, Bell USP 5,319,042, and Chem. Abstracts vol. 131, no. 8, abstract 102661. In addition in claims 1 and 12 sulfur is included in the first proviso group but is not in the second, though both are to the identities of R5; in claim 30 recitation of the support appears to lack antecedent basis plus be a non sequitur. Note attached Interview Summary form.

Mark L. Bell

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700